United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

٧.	) Case No. 5:15-CR-265-1BR	
LARRY D. HILL, JR.	) Case No. 3.13-61(-203-15)(	
Defendant		
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
After conducting a detention hearing under the require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ne Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	—Findings of Fact	
$\Box$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense desc	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or local	l offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U. for which the prison term is 10 years o	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) or more.	
☐ an offense for which the maximum ser	ntence is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum priso	on term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
	t had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses )-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violen	ace but involves:	
☐ a minor victim		
☐ the possession or use of a firearm of	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	C. § 2250	
$\Box$ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was confederal, state release or local offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
$\Box$ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed	since the \( \square \) date of conviction \( \square \) the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described in fin	ding (1).	
	attable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of their find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alteri	native Findings (A)	
$\Box$ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense	
☐ for which a maximum prison term of to	en years or more is prescribed in	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the the defendant's appearance and the	e presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ne safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
$\checkmark$ (1) There is a serious risk that the de	efendant will not appear.
•/	efendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	- Statement of the Reasons for Detention ation submitted at the detention hearing establishes by  clear and
·	
Based on the defendant's waiver of his/he	of the evidence that right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that care the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
1 1	o condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably resafety of another person or the community.  The lack of stable employment  ment's case  The lack of a suitable custodian  The fact that the charges arose while on state probation  The history of probation revocations
<del>_</del>	
Part	III—Directions Regarding Detention
in a corrections facility separate, to the exte pending appeal. The defendant must be afformation	ustody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement nt practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody orded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility ites marshal for a court appearance.
Hill may request that the court re Carolina Department of Corrections prio	econsider this order should he be released from the custody of the North r to the resolution of this case.
Date: October 5, 2015	Robert T Numbers II
	Juage s signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title